# Speech of Allen W. Dulles

## ore U.S. Chamber of Commerce

THE NEW YORK TIMES, TUESDAY, APRIL 29, 1958.

Special to The New York Times, WASHINGTON, April 28: Following is the text of a speech made today by Allen W. Dulles, director of the Central Intelligence Agency, before the United States Chamber of Commerce:

The subject for your meeting today, "Dimensions of the International Peril Facing Us," is a particularly appropriate one for the Chamber of Commerce of the United States. With its membership of 2,500,000 business men, your organization occupies a key position of influence in our nation's approach to international as well as domestic\_problems.

.It is also a timely subject for you to be considering. Today the Soviet Union through its very vocal leader. Khrushchev, is directly challenging the United States the fields of industrial development and foreign trade and the states of the opment and foreign trade and as well as in military matters. The other day he remarked, "To the slogan that says, 'Let us arm,' we reply with the slogan, 'Let trade.'"

The economic challenge a dual one. They are setting goals for their own domesting production to compete directproduction to compete their words, to get ahead of the economic race." The us in the economic race." other phase of their challence is through their foreign economic penetration program.
Soviet Policy Discussed

I shall discuss both of these challenges. But before doing so, I shall analyze briefly the development of Soviet policy over recent years, as this, I feel, helps to explain why they have turned to the economic and industrial fields to promote their long-range in ternational policies.

in the immediate post-war period, Stalin relied on inilitary and para-military action and the military threat as the chief weapons for the ad-vancement of Soviet aims It was with military force that the Soviet took over and established their control it has European satellites and repressed the democratic forms of government which tried to find root immediately after the war. It is with military occupation, force and the threat of force that they still hold their position in Central Europe.

Then in Iran, in Greece, and at Berlin in the early post war years, it was force and the threat of force that was used in the attempt to break down the free world defenses. Through the Marshall Plan enrough the Marshall Plan and our growing military pre-paredness following Korea this threat was contained in the West; but China was overrun by the Communists and northern Vietnam taken. These and other military

and subversive maneuvers alerted the free world to the dangers of Stalin's policies. Our counter-measures tenion Our counter-measures tended to make them counter-productive. Stalin was posthumous discredited by Khrusheh. Stalin's programs were generally repudiated by his successors, who literally trembled at the risks he had taken at a time when the Soviet had no atomic capability match our own. It is wall, however, that Khrushchev ruthless repression of libert. ruthless repression of liberty.
In Hungary with Soviet troops should stand as a training raining to us that Stalinist tactics may at any time be revived if the Soviet Union feels its vital interests are affected.

#### Moves Called More Subtle

Today we face the subtlet policies of Nikita Khrushchev. Will they be more or less etfective than the Stalin policles in achieving the over-all aims of international Communism?

Of course, I do not mean to discount the seriousness of the Soviet military threat or its challenge in the scientific and technical fields on which depend. But as I see it, under lits present policies, the

U. S. S. R. does not intend to use its military power in such a way as to risk general war. They have a healthy restation our retaliatory capability.

Furthermore, the Soviet success with sputniks and in the field of ballistic missies has well alerted us to the military danger and our missile and other programs are receiving top priorities. We must, however, be ever watchful of the Soviet em-phasis on the military applications of science and technology in order to anticipate any attempts at a break-through which would change the balance of military power.

Barring such a possibility, it is most probable that the fateful battles of the "cold war" will, in the foresecable future, be fought in the economic and subversive arenas.

To understand the seriousness of the Soviet economic threat, it is essential to in-derstand the Soviet economic and industrial base on which they are developing their economic penetration program,

Since 1928 the Soviet Union has developed rapidly from a predominantly agricutural and industrially under-developed country to the second largest economy in the world. Forceddraft industrialization, emphasizing heavy industry, was carried out by Stalin to prevent, to quote his words, another beating of backward Russia by the more economicapitalist advanced

countries. Forced-draft industrializa-tion continues in Russia today, and now the emphasis is more positive: namely, to meet Khrushchev's goal of, "catching up and surpassing the United States in per capita production within the shortest possible historical period of time." This theme is being used not only as internal propaganda but also to

propogate the Soviet faith abroad.

Comparison of the econo mies of the United States and the U. S. S. R. in terms of total production of goods and services indicates U. S. S. R.'s rapid progress.

Whereas Soviet gross was Whereas Soviet gross 113whereas soviet gross mandional product was about 36 per cent that of the United States in 1950, by 1956 it had bincreased to about 40 per cent, and by 1962 it may be about 50 per cent of our cont about 50 per cent of our own This means that the Soviet economy has been growing and is expected to continue to grow through 1962, at a rate roughly twice that of the economy of the United States, Annual growth over-all has been running between 6 and 7 per cent, annual growth of industry between 10 and 12 per cent.

These rates of growth are exceedingly high. They have warely been matched in other states except during limited periods of post-war rebuild-

#### Dollar Comparison Made

A dollar comparison of U. S. S. R. and United States gross national product in 1956 reveals that consumption-or what the Soviet consumer re-ceived—was less than half of total production. It was over-two-thirds of the total in the United States investment, on the other hand, as a propor-tion of the gross national product in the U. S. S. R., was significantly higher than was significantly higher than in the United States. Furthermore, investment funds in the U. S. S. R. were plowed back primarily into expansion of electric power, the metal-lurgical base, and into the producer goods industries. In these fields, it was over 50 per cent of actual United per cent of actual officers, states investment in 1956, and in 1958, will probably exceed our own. Defense expenditures, as a proportion of the gross national product in the U.S. S. R., were signifi-cantly higher than in the United States; in fact about adouble.

### Approved For Release 2000 0840 1 GIA-RDR71 T007 20 R000 1000 10034-4

Soviet industrial production in 1956 was about 40 per cent as large as that of the United States. However, Soviet heavy industry was proportionately larger than this over-all average, and in some instances the output of specific industries already approached that of the United States. The output the United States. The output of coal in the U. S. R. Was about 70 per cent of the United States. The output the United States. The output the finachine tools about double output balls. about half.

Since 1956, Soviet output has continued its rapid values on the first quarter 1948, Soviet industrial pro-action was 11 per cant higher than a year ago. In comparison, the Federal Re-serve Board index shows a decline of 11 per cent in the United States.

According to available sta-tistics, in the first quarter of 1958, the Sino-Soviet bloc has for the first time surpased the United States in steel production. The three months figures show that the U.S. S. R. alone turned out over 75 per cent of the steel tonnage of the United States. A recession is an expensive luxury. Its effects are confined to our own shores. Soviet propagandists have had a field day in recent months, pounding away at American free enterprise.

Every Soviet speech, magazine article, or radio brodecast beamed to the underdeveloped nations plays up and exaggerates our economic difficulties. The uncommitted millions are being told by the Communists: "See, we told you so. Crises and unemployment are inevitable under capitalism. Communism is the only true road to social progress.

Our economy is giving the Communists a propaganda target as damaging, and I trust, as transitory as their own sputniks.

#### Soviet Trade Gains Noted

Continued Soviet industrial growth has had a counter part in increased trade with the free world. Over the last two years, their trade with the West has been moving ahead far more rapidly than it has within the bloc itself. About 70 per cent of the U.S. S. R.'s increase in nonbloc trade in 1957 was with the industrial nations of Western Europe and, under agreements such as that just concluded with Germany, will expand still more.

Recent speeches by Soviet teaders - Khrushchev, [Anastas I.] Mikoyan and Deputy Foreign Minister [Alersei V.] Zahkarov -- stress the U. S. S. R.'s desire to expand trade with the free world.

Mr. Mikoyan, for example, said that the U.S.S.R. is "confident that with establishment of normal trade relations a significant for-ward step will be taken along the road leading to the establishment of cooperative relations between the Soviet Union and the United States. This month, Mr. Zahkarov told the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe that Western trade ministers should devote their energies to bringing about a long-run increase in East-West trade.

Soviet capabilities to expor petroleum and metals—alumi petroleum and metals—alumi-num, tin, zinc, and ferro-alloys—is increasing. The LLS.S.R., is already a supplier in a few traditional Western metals markets. Over the years, the U.S.S.R. may well become a major source of many such industrial necessi-ties to Western Europe. ties to Western Europe.

This seems particularly likely if Khrushchev's 1872 commodity goals prove to be realistic.

Take, for example, petro-leum. By 1972, the Soviets plan to produce as much crude oil as we in the United States do today. Even allow-ing for substantial increases In domestic consumption, they

oild expert about 2,000,000
relia a day Today, all of watern Europe consumes about 3,000,000 barrels a day.
A start has already been A start has already been made on the pipeline needed to bring the crude oil from the Ural-Volga basin to the Baltic.

Soviet ability to use trade as a weapon to advance its political aims will increase in a direct ratio to their ship cess in realizing their nomic goals.

For example, once have penetrated Western filtropean markets to the extent that these markets become For example, manufactures markets become another than the society and the society and the society and formidable anew and formidable weapon of economic warfare. By withholding supplies, by capriciously raising prices, of by dumping commodities, the soviets in effect will have a tat the council table of the great industrial nations rof Europe.

Flash-in-Pan Idea Rejected During the Suez Canal risis we saw a brief glimpse Soviet capabilities to ٠ rant or withhold economic The increase in sales of metals and petroleum to free, world countries, which moved sharply upward in 1958, is not an economic flash in the pan. It is a reflection of growing Soviet industrial capacity.

Further, their government tal set up is well adapted to waging economic as well as political warfare. They have no budgetary controls when It comes to diverting funds to particularly urgent national policies. There need be no prior consultations with parliaments or the people.

This, briefly described, is foreign trade program, as we analyze it today. It is to this base that Moscow is adding its foreign economic penetra tion deals designed to wean to its camp the uncommitted and newly developing area.

of the world.

It is important at the outset to note that Soviet credits and grants are not limited to those countries where there is an early prospect of acceptance of Communist doc

of the \$2,000,000,000 of development and military aid extended by the Sino-Soviet bloc over the last three years and this is exclusive o intra-bloc aid which is a sul stantial drain on the Soviet economy-large sums have gone to countries which are not now in the Soviet camp.

Let us get down to cases In Egypt the Communist party was outlawed at the time of the bloc's original fallitary aid offers in 1955. The party was outlawed at the time of the bloc's original fallitary aid offers in 1955. The party since the country since in the country since that time, the U.S.S.R. concluded a major \$175,000,000 ecc. nomic aid program with Egypt in 1957.

ria has been reduced follow ing its membership in the United Arab Republic in Feb. rijary of this year—even to the point where Khalid Bak-dash, the leading Arab Communist, fled the country. But the U. S. S. A. is going ahead with its \$170,000,000 econom-Ic aid program and continues to supply arms under agree worth \$100,000,000. ments The magnitude of this and other military programs raises the question as to who

#### may be the eventual user of Other Examples Are Given

these arms.

The list of examples can be extended. Afghanistan is a monarchy. The Imam of Yemen is an absolute ruler. Both are recipients of large Soviet aid programs.

\* Soviet bloc economic penetration of Yemen provides a of trade and aid as an invest-ment in disorder.

Yemen is strategically located at the entrance to the Red Sea from the Gulf of Aden. It commands one sea. trance to all Suez Canal trans ric; the oil moving westy as well as goods moving in Europe to the East.

Soviet overtures were pealing to the Imam because the bloc was willing to supply him with arms, while the West would not. Arms in Yemini hands on the scale contemplated can only create more trouble in the Middle East. They will fan the Imam's dispute with the British and with local sultanates over the borders of the Aden protectorate.
The Soviets were quick to

sense the opportunity to create disorder by giving aid to Yemen. They moved quickly. In less than two years, this small country of some 4,000 people has been granted \$80,000,000 in credits. Additional offers of over \$20,000. 000 are currently outstanding. Arms valued at \$30,000,000 have been delivered. A Soviet nave been denvered and Czech military mission of and Czech military mission of advisers. some sixty-five advisers currently in Yemen for train ing assistance.
Even the Red Chinese have

foined in with an offer of a loan of \$15,000,000. If all loan of \$15,000,000. If all proposed projects are carried out, the Communists will have a key role in Yemen's in-

development.
The Communists have to interest rate problems. They have no legislative restrictions. The U. S. S. R. has developed an attractive package credit deal-long term loans generally for twelve years.
2.5 per cent interest rates; repayment in surplus corh modities, and room for bar-gaining on prices. They have devoted much effort to the native language training of the technicians they send with their aid to the newly

with their aid to the newly developing nations.

Though the Communists section the Communists section capitalist types of these country, they make liberal use of them abroad.

One of the most important of these is the Park of these is the Bank of China. It is a primary source of funds to the 12,000,000 Chimese in Southeast Asia. These loans, controlled from Peiping, often require appropriate

often require appropriate the fures of support to the Communist regime in China. Branches of the bank throughout the East promote the export and sale of thinese Communist goods in the area. They also collect a vast store of economic and political information, both openly and by clandestine means.

#### Approved For Respan 2089/09/04/ @IA-RPP73 T007302000100010034-4

#### "Paris Bank Used by Soviet

In Paris, for its European business, the Soviet use a commercial bank called the Banque Commerciale pour l'Europe du Nord. It often serves as agent for effecting sales of Soviet gold in London and on the Continent and is the means through which Soviet credits transferred to the satellites.
It also maintains a widespread system of correspondent relationships with banking institutions throughout Europe and in this Hemi-sphere and is one of the chief instruments for the financing of Soviet trade with the West and for obtaining information on trade opportunities.
In Latin America, there a number of Communist-from or bloc-associated organizations actively campaigning for closer commercial ties with the bloc. In Brazil, one hf these has been offering to import and sell Russian automobiles at ridiculously low prices. When this fell through,

it offered to import a com-plete auto factory from the U. S. S. R. While neither offer may have been serious, they had considerable propagation On a world-wide basis, the Soviet Union presents itself at eager to do business of terms attractive to the customers.

tomer.

Moscow's foreign-aid program has particular appear in the undeveloped countries be-cause Russia until so recently was an undeveloped country itself. For some reason, the recently liberated countries lin has found a new and maric formula for quick industrial-ization which is the hallmark of becoming a modern state to many of these countries. They recognize American nomic and industrial leader. that the democratic process of economic development neg

#### Development a Crusade

Soviet propaganda charges that it took the West 150 years to achieve industrially what the Soviets have built in a generation. In the newly developing agents of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution and the solution as the solution developing countries, the drive become a crusade, not always based on reason.

Also these countries ted that we in the United States are far ahead of them and

that while they may aspire eventually to an economy something like that of the Soviet Union, they cannot, in the foreseeable future, hope to reach the high standards of living of this country.

Factors such as these give a particular appeal to over-tures from the Soviet Union. They are not able to see the invisable strings which are tied in with Soviet offers nor do they understand the subtle implications of Soviet subversive penetration which is a part of every economic pack-

age. Each time that I prepare a summary of any phase of so-viet activities, whether it be in their domestic-industrial development, their foreign economic - exploitation activities, or their military-defense preparations, I am impressed by the efforts which the Spviet make to keep secret the details of their operations.

If their motives in the mill-tary, industrial and economic fields, are, as they claim peaceful and defensive, why should this be the case? Why are we not entitled, before we accept their protestations garding peaceful coexistence to ask that there should be franker disclosure of their ac-tivities—something compara-ble to the disclosure made by the free countries of the world?

#### Nuclear Tests Shielded

For example, before their recent offer of a suspension of nuclear testing, they then selves had just completed a series of nuclear tests, concentrating a great number of time. For example, three tests occurred within a single two day period in an unprecedented burst of activity.

This was done behind a cover of secrecy except for

gover of secrecy except for announcements that our Government itself made of the soviet tests. But by and large, their activities in nuclear testing remain quite undenown, particularly in those countries which are being filled with Soviet propaganda against testing.

The nature of their military aid programs such as I have described above have, by and large, been kept as secret as the Soviet could hanage. An even tighter veil not secrecy is kept around almost all phases of their mili-tery establishment.

The details of our own aid programs, as well as of de-fense expenditures and military production, with few exceptions, are available the world through our news-papers. In contrast, the Ropapers. In contrast, the Soviets release only the annual
ruble total of what they in
defense spending.

It is our best estimate the
bidget as published to the
rorld actually covers little
more than half of the rubles
they are now putting into
military activities.

As long as this secrecy

As long as this secrecy mains a cardinal tenet of soviet bractice it is extremely airficult to accept Soviet in relations as Expr. 1

real intentions, real intentions, u., and it is an ac-puraging sign, that energies of visits are being in the standard of the standard and a calc fields. This may will be to a better mutual derstanding, but that understanding will be very incompared to the standard will be very i plete until it is broadened to a point where the barriers this very secrecy which makes meaningful agrantes so difficult to reach tone answer to Krushchevs

challenge to us should be renewed challenge to the renewed challenge to the last in the President's "open ky" proposal, to put an ky" proposal, to put an proposal to put an open and doubt.

Undoubtedly one of the reading for secrecy is to high. yes one for secrecy is to hide from the world some of hoplems which the South

Union faces.
In the analysis I have given

bove, I have stressed there yer real achievements, their rowing power, and their rowing power, and their rotors we must not under the rower than the rower the rower the rower the rower than the rower t stimate. However, the redization of many of the gold-they have set depends on the

they have set depends on solving some very real observations of the solving some very real observations. For example, Khrushch has repeatedly promised its people startling improvem the quality of their dependence of the realization of the re large areas, as we 7, are vulnerable to rought. Further, Ka hehev has brought the antiwor a theorem whose plant in animal breeding ideas are persent as nonsense by all the fact western scientists.

They are now engaged in a control of their industry, and this move toward decentralidargers for any dictatorship as that of the Kremlin today.

The myth of collective leads of a favores and there are signs today of a reversal to a harsher line. Treversal to a narsner limit consequences of a farteraching nature. Khrushchevinespite his gregarious characteristics, as he assumes new this rivals, becomes modern and more an isolated and long by figure.

Convertible Currency Lacking As they enter into the field of international trade on major scale they lack a conwelp on the device of settling ernational balances in stering or dollars. In essence, most of their trade must main on something approach

ing a barter basis. The ruble is not an inter-wide ranges its value is matter of speculation, variant from the official rate of maround 20 cents to a purchasing value of around 10 cents. a quoted value for ruble motes in the Swiss market of enly a few cents. But, of churse, this latter rate is due to the fact that ruble currency can neither be lagally inported into nor exported on the Soviet Union. Possibly today the nest acute problem facing Khry. growing demands of the Russian consumer for a greater hare in the over-all production of the Soviet Union. With a gross national product of ground 40 per cent of our tary, they put into the military sector a national effort noughly comparable to our own, leaving only a modest hare for consumer goods. If the Kremlin respond to popular pressures, they will be forced to give more and more to the consumer. This trend has already stated. The Russians have somewhat improved living standards and the national output of such consumer goods as TV sets and washing machines has been stepped up. Some former armament plants are now producing civilian goods. tion of the Soviet Union. With now producing civilian goods.

Threat to Dictators Implied
All this may help to develop a society where people will have more opportunity to satisfy the individual years for a fuller life. Economic hetterment, added to the layer educational system they have already installed, let be to build up generations of beople more and more fined to question the basic teners of a totalitarian policies of a totalitarian policies of government under they are living. they are living.
Under Khrushchev there has Under Khrushchev there has been, undoubtedly, some reliaxations of the old Statinist policy system, but every two steps in advance seem to batton of the problem of reconciling a measure of freedom with the stern line of Communist docstern line of Communist docstern line of Communist Goctrine and discipline.

The fact that the leadership of the U.S. S. R. faces these yery real problems is now-ever, no excuse whatever for complacency on our part. During and since the war, their leadership has faced even more serious problems and has surmounted them.

The economy of the soviet Union has momentum, and versatility and, while I predict that their people will undoubtedly press for an improvement of their lot, some real concessions can be made to them without fundamental. trine and discipline. ly altering the general tempo of their present industrial and certainly here we have the most serious challenge this country has ever faced in time of peace. As this challenge is very largely lased that the economic and trail growth of the Union, it is one which cerns very directly the business leaders in our country. military programs.